

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,278	01/30/2001	Gregory M. Lanza	4375-000004/US	2535
28997 7	7590 10/29/2003		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY, & PIERCE, P.L.C			SHARAREH, SHAHNAM J	
7700 BONHOMME, STE 400 ST. LOUIS, MO 63105		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		•	1617	, -
			DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003	, , ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

منم	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/774,278	LANZA ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shahnam Sharareh	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 08 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application of the control	ation. A proper reply h places the applicati	to a on in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final O	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension ffice action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): <u>35 USC 112 2nd para.</u> .						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	mendment				
5.⊠ The a)⊠ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered an w or appended.	nd an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,708,13-14, 17-19, 25-26, 31-32</u>	?, 35, 68-77 .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is		•	er.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer 10. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	HUSSELLT	/ TRAVERS				
		PHIMARYE	XAMINER				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons of record. Applicant argues that the specific rectation of "raising the temperature of the bound nanoparticles" is not recited in any of nanoparticles. As argued previously, Examiner has taken the position that Unger's teachings encompass such limitation, because he scans the "site of interest." Nanoparticles of Unger, thus, exist at his site of interest to be imaged, otherwise, the use of his contrast agent would have not made any sense. Further, Applicant's declartion filed on October 8, 2003 has not been considered because it was not poperly executed.